



PATENT Customer No. 22,852 Attorney Docket No. 05725.1178-00

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re Application of:                             | )                           |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Celine BRUCKER et al.                             | )<br>Group Art Unit: 3609   |
| Serial No.: 10/633,613                            | Examiner: Rodney M. Henry   |
| Filed: August 5, 2003                             | )<br>Confirmation No.: 5378 |
| For: METHODS OF MARKETING A MASS CONSUMER PRODUCT | )<br>)<br>)                 |
| Commissioner for Patents                          |                             |

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In a Restriction Requirement dated September 27, 2007, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between Group I: Claims 1-27 and 33-43<sup>1</sup>, and Group II: Claims 28-32.

Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute Group I, claims 1-27 and 33-43.

If a telephone conversation might advance prosecution, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney (571-203-2774).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Applicants believe that there are typographical errors in the Restriction Requirement. The present application includes 43 total claims, and claim 43 is included in neither Group I nor Group II. Because claim 43 depends from claim 33, Applicants' election of Group I includes election of claim 43 for prosecution. Applicants respectfully request clarification to ensure that they submit a complete response.